

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20463

FFB 2 5 2005

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Marian Stang 5730 West 110th Street Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

RE: MUR 5405

Dear Ms. Stang:

On February 8, 2005, the Federal Election Commission found reason to believe that you violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f, a provision of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act."), by permitting Apex Healthcare, Inc. to reimburse you for contributions you made to federal political committees. However, after considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission also determined to take no further action and closed its file as it pertains to you. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which formed a basis for the Commission's finding, is attached for your information.

The Commission admonishes you and reminds you that making contributions in the name of another violates Section 441f of the Act. You should take steps to ensure that this activity does not occur in the future.

There is a federal statute, 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12), requiring all persons to keep confidential enforcement proceedings conducted by the Federal Election Commission, except with the written consent of the person who is the subject of the enforcement proceeding. This means that unless you have such written consent, you should not publicly disclose the existence of an ongoing Commission enforcement proceeding or the fact that the Commission has contacted you in connection with this matter. This restriction, however, does not prevent you from discussing the underlying facts and circumstances with any person, including the subject of the enforcement proceeding or their counsel. Also please note that respondents have an obligation to preserve all documents, records and materials relating to this matter.

Marian Stang MUR 5405 Page 2

The Commission will notify you when the entire file has been closed. If you have any questions, please contact Adam Schwartz, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Michael E. Toner Vice Chairman

Mil E-Ta

Enclosure Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENT:

Marian Stang

MUR 5405

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by Gerald Jaecks. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).

II. FACTUAL SUMMARY

Marian Stang is the mother of Dawn Burdelik, an employee at Apex Healthcare, Inc. ("APEX"). Available information indicates that on four separate occasions in 2002 and 2003, Ms. Burdelik approached her mother and told her that James Chao, the President and sole shareholder of APEX, asked Ms. Stang to write a personal check to specific political committees with the promise that Mr. Chao would reimburse the contribution. Ms. Stang reportedly agreed to contribute based on the belief that Chao did not want other political candidates to know how much money he was contributing. Ms. Stang made a \$1,000 contribution to People for Marty Castro on February 21, 2002 and three contributions in 2003 totaling \$7,800 to Hynes for Senate, the political committee supporting the election of Daniel Hynes to the U.S. Senate from Illinois. APEX, through James Chao, reimbursed Ms. Stang for each of these contributions.

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

Ms. Stang made four contributions to federal campaign committees with assurances from James Chao that he would reimburse her contributions.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), prohibits any person from knowingly permitting his or her name to be used to effect a contribution in the name

of another person. See 2 U.S.C. § 441f. By accepting reimbursement from APEX for her contributions, Ms. Stang knowingly permitted her name to be used to effect contributions in the name of another in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441f.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Accordingly, there is reason to believe that Marian Stang violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f by knowingly permitting her name to be used to effect contributions in the name of another.